

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/061,025	01/30/2002	Morton J. Seligman	078061-31851	7891
26345 7590 04/07/			EXAMINER	
GIBBONS, DEL DEO, DOLAN, GRIFFINGER & VECCHIONE			HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA	
	NJ 07102-5497		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1616	10
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/061,025	SELIGMAN, MORTON J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mina Haghighatian	1616			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon. is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status —						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
3)∟	closed in accordance with the practice un					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	·			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected to t	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date	5B/08) 5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	Irademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/061,025

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Osbakken et al (20020061281 A1) is maintained.

Osbakken' reference was discussed in the Office Action mailed 12/18/02.

Response to Arguments and Declaration

Applicant's arguments and declaration filed 04/01/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Osbakken does not disclose treating the claimed disease, allergic fungal sinusitis and liquid montelukast delivered intranasally. This is not found persuasive because Osbakken discloses a teaching on treating sinusitis and refers to both infectious and allergic sinusitis. Osbakken discloses treating infectious fungal sinusistis with antibiotics and antifungal agents (Note [0117] and [0123]) and allergic fungal sinusitis with anti-leukotrienes such as montelukast and pranleukast (Note [0041], [0125] and [0126]). Osbakken is clearly disclosing that these medications (anti-leukotrienes) applied topically will reduce inflammation in the nasal cavity and thereby help prevent the development of and also shrink existing polyps.

Applicant argues that Osbakken is teaching administration of agents through an extranasal cloud mist by means of an aerosol nebulizer and not intranasally by a nose

Application/Control Number: 10/061,025

Art Unit: 1616

spray. This is found not persuasive. Osbakken is teaching nebulizers as one embodiment of his invention. Nasal delivery is also taught and disclosed in various embodiments (Note [0053], [0070] and [0081]).

Applicant argues that Osbakken does not disclose the claimed daily dosage for motelukast. Applicant is referring to a filter test done on 3.5 mg dose as disclosed by Osbakken compared to a filter test on a lower dose, e.g. 2.5 mg, as disclosed by the claimed invention. This is not persuasive because the claimed daily dosage is from 0.03 to 10 mg and the disclosed 0.5 to 15 mg and in particular the 3.5mg dose of Osbakken meets the limitation. Also the claimed composition contains a liquid carrier such as saline. In comparison it is disclosed that Osbakken's solutions contain NACL ([0073]) and exemplified is a formulation containing 3.5 mg of montelukast in sterile water for injection ([0188]). According to MPEP, if the composition is physically the same, it must have the same properties (See MPEP 2112.01).

Applicant asserts that Osbakken is teaching away from intranasal administration, citing section [0020] of the patent publication. This is not correct. In section [0020] Osbakken is discussing effects of nasal <u>decongestants</u> in any one of spray, drops or vapor form. The said disclosure is not teaching away from intranasal administration. In section [0053] Osbakken discloses Wenig et al's reference which teaches that "nasal delivery provides enhanced bioavailability, minimized variations in blood levels, and more rapid onset of activity and reduced dosages as compared to administration such as oral or subcutaneous". The drawback of Wenig's teaching according to Osbakken is that Wenig does not disclose effective particle size for nasal sprays.

Application/Control Number: 10/061,025

Art Unit: 1616

Thus it is the examiner's legal position that all the limitations of the instant claims are taught and disclosed by Osbakken.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mina Haghighatian whose telephone number is 571-272-0615. The examiner can normally be reached on core office hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/061,025

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mina Haghighatian March 29, 2004

> THURMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PATEINT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600